

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/051,727	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BANDYOPADHYAY ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** non-final(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Anastasia P. Winslow.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 May 2005**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

59-61

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed attorney that claims 1-6, 8, 10-13, 30-32 and 34-55 are allowable and that claims 59-61 are broader in scope with respect to the process of lyophilization. Attorney authorized examiner to cancel claims 59-61 by examiner's amendment and informed examiner that the claims will be pursued in a continuation or a divisional application..